MORRISON

FOERSTER

755 PAGE MILL ROAD PALO ALTO CALIFORNIA 94304-1018

TELEPHONE: 650.813.5600 FACSIMILE: 650.494.0792

WWW.MOFO.COM

MORRISON & PORRSTER LLP

NHW YORK, SAN FRANCISCO, LOS ANGELRS, PALO ALTO, SAN DIEGO, WASHINGTON, D.C.

DANVER, NOSTHERN VIRGINIA, ORANGE COUNTY, SACRAMENTO, WALNUT CREEK, CHNTURY CITY

TORYO, LONDON, BEIJING. SHANGHAL, HONG KONG, SINGAPORE, BRUSSELS

JAN 2 2 2007

RECEIVED

CENTRAL FAX CENTER

To:

Name:	FACSIMILE:	TELEPHONE:
MS APPEAL BRIEF - PATENTS U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	571-273-8300	571-272-8586

FROM:

Thomas Chan

DATE:

January 22, 2007

Number of pages	9	Originals Will Not Follow
with cover page:		

Preparer of this slip has confirmed that facsimile number given is correct: 12923/Ica1

Comments:

Official Filing

Examiner: S. Kundu Art Unit: 2863

U.S. Patent Application Serial No.: 10/772,327

Filing Date: February 6, 2004

Inventor(s): Ankan PRAMANICK et al.

Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR TESTING INTEGRATED CIRCUITS

Docket No.: 333772000900

Papers enclosed herewith;

- 1. Transmittal Form (1 page)
- 2. Reply Brief (7 pages)

To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the United States Internal Revenue Service, Morrison & Foerster LLP informs you that, if any advice concerning one or more U.S. Federal tax issues is contained in this facsimile (including any attachments), such advice is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penaltics under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

CAUTION - CONFIDENTIAL

This facsimile contains confidential information that may also be privileged. Unless you are the addressee (or authorized to receive for the addressee); you may not copy, use, or distribute it. If you have received it in error, please advise Morrison & Foerster LLP immediately by telephone or facsimile and return it promptly by mail.

PA-1125994

JAN 22 2007

TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) Examiner Name Art Unit Total Number of Pages in This Submission ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply) Fee Transmittal Form Drawling(s) Fee Attached Appeal Communication to Board Appeals and Interferences (7 pages) Appeal Communication to TC	inder the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no per	reona are required to res	11.5 Patent and Trader	nadkΩ	or use through 07/31/2008. OMB 0651-00 ffice: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC Inless it displays a valid OMB control numb	
First Named Inventor Ankan PRAMANICK Art Uhit 2863 Examiner Name S. Kundu Total Number of Pages in This Submission 8 Attorney Docket Number 333772000900 ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply) Fee Transmittal Form Drawing(s) After Allowance Communication to TC Appeal Communication to Dearn Appeals and Interferences (7 pages) Amendment/Reply Petition Locowert to a Provisional Application Provisional Application Provisional Application Provisional Application Provisional Application Provisional Application Proper of Altomey, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address Extension of Time Request Terminal Disclaimer Request Request Faxors Abandonment Request Request For Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Express Abandonment Request CD, Number of CD(s) Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Name MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP (Customer No. 25226) Signature Thomas Chan Date of the this pages (along with any pages referred to se being Affected, after endowed) is being transmitted by tracsimile to the Present. Thereby coeffly that this pages (along with any pages referred to se being Affected, after endowed) is being transmitted by tracsimile to the Present. Thereby coeffly that this pages (along with any pages referred to se being Affected, after endowed) is being transmitted by tracsimile to the Present.			Application Number			
Art Unit 2863 Examiner Name S. Kundu Art Unit 2863 Examiner Name S. Kundu Attorney Docket Number 333772000900 ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply) Fee Transmittal Form Drawing(s) After Allowance Communication to TC Appeal Communication to Deburn Appeal Communication to TC Appeal Amendment/Reply Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Proprietary Information Aftidavis/declaration(e) Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Proprietary Information Aftidavis/declaration(e) Charge of Ortespondence Address Express Abandonment Request Request Formula Disclatimer Express Abandonment Request CD. Number of CD(a) Contribed Copy of Priority Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts under SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Tim Name MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP (Customer No. 25226) Signature Transmittal banger (along with any paper referred to as being Aftiched, affendoosed) is being transmitted by reasimile to the Patent Information Discourse Statement Thomas Chan Art Unit Examiner Name Statement Contributed Communication to TC Appeal Communication to Bourn Appeal Communication to TC Appeal Communication to TC Appeal Communication to Deburn Appeal Communication to TC Charge of Appeal Communication to TC Appeal Morrison to TC Appeal Morrison to TC Appeal Communication to TC Appeal Morrison to TC Appeal Communication to TC Appeal Morrison to TC Appeal Mor	FORM		Filing Date		February 6, 2004	
Examiner Name S. Kundu			First Named Inventor		Ankan PRAMANICK	
Examiner Name S. Kundu Total Number of Pages in This Submission 8 Attorney Dockst Number 333772000900 ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply) Fee Transmittal Form Drawing(s) After Allowance Communication to TC Appeal Accommunication to TC Appeal Appeal Communication to TC Appeal Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Motice, Brisf, Reply Brief) After Final Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Proprietary Information Change of Correspondence Address Torminal Disclaimer Status Letter Extension of Time Request Request For Refund Request Information Disclosure Statement CD, Number of CD(s) Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts Incomplete Application Remarks SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Name MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP (Customer No. 25226) Signature Date January 22, 2007 Reg. No. 51,543			Art Unit		2863	
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply) Fee Transmittal Form			Examiner Name		S. Kundu	
Fee Transmittal Form Drawing(s)	Total Number of Pages in This Submission		Attorney Docket Num	ber	333772000900	
Fee Attached	EI	NCLOSURES	(Check all that ap	oly)		
Appeals and interferences (7 pages) Appeals and interferences (7 pages) Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Bitef, Reply Brief) After Final Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address Express Abandonment Request Request Terminal Disclaimer Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement CD, Number of CD(s) Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts Reply to Missin	Fee Transmittal Form	Drawing(s)			After Allowance Communication to TC	
After Final Provisional Application Proprietary Information Proprietary Information Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address Teminal Disclaimsr Express Abandonment Request Request Request Proprietary Information Disclosure Statement CD, Number of CD(s) Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Time Name MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP (Customer No. 25226) Signature Thomas Chan Reg. No. 51,543 Thereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being disclosured for endowed) is being transmitted by facsimile to the Patent.	Fee Attached	Licensing-rei	lated Papers	×		
Affidavits/declaration(s) Affidavits/declaration(s) Extension of Time Request Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement CD, Number of CD(s) Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Timm Name MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP (Customer No. 25226) Information Thomas Chan Date January 22, 2007 Reg. No. 51,543	Amendment/Reply	Petition			Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief)	
Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement CD, Number of CD(s) Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Timm Name MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP (Customer No. 25226) Panuary 22, 2007 Reg. No. 51,543	After Final				Proprietary Information	
Express Abandonment Request Express Abandonment Request Request for Refund Fax cover sheet	Affidavits/declaration(s) Power of Atto		mey, Revocation prespondence Address		Status Letter	
Information Disclosure Statement CD, Number of CD(s) Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Imm Name MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP (Customer No. 25226) Infinited name Thomas Chan January 22, 2007 Reg. No. 51,543	Extension of Time Request Terminal Dis		clalmer	x		
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under Reply to Missing Parts under Reply to Missing Parts under SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT imm Name MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP (Customer No. 25226) Signature Printed name Thomas Chan Date January 22, 2007 Reg. No. 51,543	Express Abandonment Request Request for		Refund	Fá	x cover sheet	
Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Timm Name MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP (Customer No. 25226) Signature Printed name Thomas Chan Date January 22, 2007 Reg. No. 51,543	Information Disclosure Statement	CD, Number	of CD(s)			
Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Imm Name MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP (Customer No. 25226) Integrature Integration Thomas Chan Reg. No. 51,543 Intereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being Mached of endosed) is being transmitted by facsimile to the Patent		Landso	cape Table on CD			
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT imm Name MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP (Customer No. 25226) identure Thomas Chan Reg. No. 51,543 Thereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being affected of enclosed) is being transmitted by facsimile to the Patent						
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP (Customer No. 25226) ignature initial name Thomas Chan Pate January 22, 2007 Reg. No. 51,543 Thereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to 39 being (Bached of Enclosed) is being transmitted by facsimile to the Patent						
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP (Customer No. 25226) Signature Intrind name Thomas Chan Date January 22, 2007 Reg. No. 51,543 Thereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached of enclosed) is being transmitted by facsimile to the Patent						
ignature Thomas Chan Pate January 22, 2007 Reg. No. 51,543 Thereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to 39 being affected of enclosed) is being transmitted by facsimile to the Patent				**	ENT	
Inomas Chan Reg. No. 51,543 Thereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to so being offsched of enclosed) is being transmitted by facsimile to the Patent	MURRISON & FUE	RSTER LLP (CI	JStomer No. 25226			
Thereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being affected of enclosed) is being transmitted by facsimile to the Patent	Interior Chan					
Thereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being effected of enclosed) is being transmitted by facsimile to the Patent	-1-		Reg. No.	5	1 543	
I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached of enclosed) is being transmitted by facsimile to the Patent Trademerk Office, facsimile no. (571) 273-8300, on the data shown below.	[64:164:7]					
Dated: January 22, 2007 Signature: (Lora Chol Abanador)						

2003/009

JAN 2 2 2007

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office, facsimile no: (571) 273-8300, on the date afrown below.

Dated: 1/22/67 Signature: (Lora Chol Abanador)

Docket No.: 333772000900

(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of: Ankan PRAMANICK et al.

Application No.: 10/772,327

Confirmation No.: 4514

Filed: February 6, 2004

Art Unit: 2863

For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR TESTING

Examiner: S. Kundu

INTEGRATED CIRCUITS

REPLY BRIEF

MS APPEAL BRIEF - PATENTS Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This Reply Brief is in response to the Examiner's Answer, dated November 20, 2006, for which a response was due on January 20, 2007. Since January 20 fell on a Saturday and January 21 fell on a Sunday. Accordingly, this response filed on January 22, 2007, is timely filed.

Application No.: 10/772,327

2

Docket No.: 333772000900

AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

I. STATUS OF CLAIMS

A. Total Number of Claims in Application

There are 24 claims pending in application.

- B. Current Status of Claims
 - 1. Claims canceled: 0
 - 2. Claims withdrawn from consideration but not canceled: 0
 - 3. Claims pending: 1-24
 - 4. Claims allowed: 0
 - 5. Claims rejected: 1-24
- C. Claims on Appeal

The claims on appeal are claims 1-24.

Application No.: 10/772,327

3

Docket No.: 333772000900

II. GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

A. Whether claims 1-5 are anticipated under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) by U.S. Patent No. 6,028,439 (the Arkin reference).

- B. Whether claims 6-8, 24 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over the Arkin reference in view of US 2002/0183955 A1 (the Adler reference).
- C. Whether claims 9-14, 19 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over the Arkin and Adler, and further in view of US 2003/0167277 A1 (the Hejlsberg reference).
- D. Whether claims 15-18, 21-23 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over the Arkin reference.
- E. Whether claim 20 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over the Arkin, Adler, and Hejlsberg, and further in view of US Patent No. 6,782,336 (the Shah reference).

JAN 2 2 2007

Application No.: 10/772,327

Docket No.: 333772000900

III. REPLY TO EXAMINER'S ANSWER

Appellants respectfully request consideration of the following remarks in view of the Examiner's Answer on Appeal mailed November 20, 2006. Appellants maintain the arguments set forth in Appellants' Appeal Brief filed on September 25, 2006.

A) Applicants respectfully disagree with Examiner's interpretation of the site controller

In Figure 2, the Examiner identifies the computer bus (38A) as the site controller. Applicants respectfully submit that person skilled in the art would understand that a computer bus consists of a set of hardware lines (metal conductors) used for data transfer among the components of a computer system. Such hardware lines have no intelligence and thus they are incapable of controlling other electronic components. On the contrary, the computer bus (38A) needs to be controlled by other electronic components, such as the bus controller (35), as shown in Figure 2 of the Arkin reference.

In the present case, independent claim 1 recite, in part, "at least one local operating system associated with each site controller for enabling control of at least one test module by an associated site controller" and "the associated site controller controls at least one test module interactively". Based on plain language interpretation of these claim elements, Applicants submit that person skilled in the art would agree with the Applicants that the set of hardware lines would not be able to control the at least one test module interactively. In addition, Applicants submit that person skilled in the art would not speak of a local operating system to be associated with a set of hardware lines as proposed by the Examiner.

B) Applicants respectfully disagree with Examiner's interpretation of the system controller, site controller, and test module of the present invention

On page 10 of the Examiner's Answer, the Examiner indicated that the test module contains the system controller and the site controller. In other words, both the system controller and the site controller are embedded in the test module. Applicants respectfully remind the Board that the Arkin

pa-1118916

Application No.: 10/772,327

5

Docket No.: 333772000900

reference clearly shows that "FIG. 2 illustrates a typical tester module of FIG. 1 in more detailed block diagram form" (See Arkin, C4:22-23.) Moreover, Arkin clearly indicates that FIG.2 is the test module 14(1) as labeled at the lower left corner of FIG. 2.

Claim 1 recites, in part, "a host operating system for enabling control of at least one site controller by a system controller" and "...enabling control of at least one test module by an associated site controller". Applicants respectfully submit that person skilled in the art would not consider the system controller and the site control to be embedded in the test module from reading the plain language of claim 1 and Figure 2 of the specification. Person skilled in the art would understand that a test module created by one vendor may not be able to interface with another test module created by a different vendor. Thus, person skilled in the art would understand that the system controller and/or the site controller are not part of the test module, because the system controller and/or the site controller would be required to interface with different test modules provided by different vendors.

C) Applicants respectfully disagree with Examiner's interpretation of "plug-and-play"

On page 11 of the Examiner's Answer, the Examiner cites the specification which merely describes an implementation of plug-and-play of the present invention. However, the Examiner avoided responding to the key issue identified by the Applicants throughout the prosecution that a test module is different from a device-under-test (DUT). Even FIG. 1 of the Arkin reference supports the Applicants' position as it identifies the test modules being items 14(1), 14(2), and 14(3), and the DUT(s) as item 12. Because the test modules and the DUT(s) are totally different entities, Applicants submit that the ability to attach/detach the DUT(s) does not anticipate the ability to attach/detach the test modules.

In addition, if the Examiner's position of the site controller is part of the test module (see Examiner's label of FIG.2 of Arkin) is adopted, Applicants submit that it is impossible to attach or detach the test modules from the site controller in a plug-and-play manner as required by the

Application No.: 10/772,327

6 JAN 2 2 2007

Docket No.: 333772000900

pending claim 1 (How can one separate the computer bus (38A), which is part of the test module, from the test module 14(1) according to the definition by the Examiner?).

D) Response to Examiner's Additional Remarks

Applicants agree that the pending claims must be "given the broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the specification." However, Applicants submit that using a set of hardware lines (computer bus) to anticipate the functions of the site controller of the pending application is not a "reasonable interpretation consistent with the specification." Many computer systems contain multiple sets of hardware lines (computer buses). If a set of hardware lines (computer buse) is given the interpretation to anticipate any functionality (such as the site controller), then a computer system can almost anticipate any inventive electronic apparatus.

In addition, Applicants would like to bring the attention to the Board that Applicants added the clarification to claim 1 of the pending application regarding the interaction between the site controller and the test module, and added the limitation common clock according to the Examiners' suggestions on the April 13, 2006 interview that these limitations would distinguish the pending claims from the Arkin reference.

JAN 22 2007

Application No.: 10/772,327

7

Docket No.: 333772000900

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Appellants assert that the Examiner's rejections of claims 1-24 are erroneous and that the claims are patentable. Reversal of the rejections is therefore respectfully requested.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17 that may be required by this Reply Brief to the Examiner's Answer, or to credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 03-1952 referencing attorney docket no. 333772000900.

Dated: January 22, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas Chan

Registration No.: 51,543

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

755 Page Mill Road

Palo Alto, California 94304-1018

(650) 813-5616